

H.A

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/346,283	FLANNERY, MICHAEL R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	José R. Díaz	2815	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) José R. Díaz. (3) _____
 (2) Scott Richardson. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 May 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1-7 and 12-27.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kusunoki (US Pat. No. 5,324,980).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion focused on the prior art reference Kusunoki, claim language was suggested to further clarify the recited invention, and the examiner agreed that the finality of the last Office Action was premature, and thus, the last Office Action is corrected to be Non Final.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 5/18/05
 Examiner's signature, if required